



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Technology Center 1700

Mailed: 7/14/05

meb

Paper Number: _____

In re application of
Chung-Ting Tseng

:

:

Serial No. 10/827,211

:

Filed: April 20, 2004

:

For: Hot Dog Frying Pan

DECISION ON
PETITION

:

This is a response to the PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181(a) TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT, filed May 16, 2005. The petition requests that the abandonment, as set forth in the Notice of Abandonment of May 2, 2005 for failure to timely respond to the Office Action mailed July 23, 2004, be withdrawn. The petitioner asserts that the Office Action mailed July 23, 2004 was not received by the applicants.

DECISION

The instant request is accepted as a timely petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 (no fee), and is evaluated under the procedures regarding an acceptable showing of non-receipt of an office action. See MPEP 711.03(c) which states:

The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. For example, if a three month period for reply was set in the nonreceived Office action, a copy of the docket report showing all replies docketed for a date three months from the mail date of the nonreceived Office action must be submitted as documentary proof of nonreceipt of the Office action. ** The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office action was lost in the mail (e.g., if the practitioner has a history of not receiving Office actions). Evidence of nonreceipt of an Office communication or action (e.g., Notice of Abandonment or an advisory action) other than that action to which reply was required to avoid abandonment would not warrant withdrawal of the holding of



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Technology Center 1700

abandonment. Abandonment takes place by operation of law for failure to reply to an Office action or timely pay the issue fee, not by operation of the mailing of a Notice of Abandonment.

The applicant has failed to provide the required evidence to establish nonreceipt of the Office action. Specifically, the applicant has not provided a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received, and a copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.

Therefore, the Notice of Abandonment is not withdrawn.

The Petition is **DENIED**.



Jacqueline Stone, Director
Technology Center 1700
Chemical and Materials Engineering

CHUNG-TING TSENG
P.O. BOX 24-108
TAIPEI, TAIWAN, R.O.C.